### Wilson, Tabatha

From: Torrence, Rufus

**Sent:** Friday, June 21, 2013 10:35 AM

**To:** Jones Chuck (Chuck.Jones@danfoss.com)

**Cc:** Wilson, Tabatha

**Subject:** AFIN 10-00102 ARP001040 Danfoss Site Visit for Compliance Assurance: Inspection

**Attachments:** DFS Insp 20130515.doc; DFS Lab Report.doc



June 21, 2013

Chuck Jones, EHS Manager Danfoss, LLC One Scroll Drive Arkadelphia, AR 71923

Re: May 15, 2013 Site Visit for Compliance Assurance: Inspection (Tracking No. ARP001040 AFIN 10-00102 AR0020605)

Dear Mr. Jones:

Part of ADEQ responsibility to EPA is to inspect industries regulated by categorical pretreatment standards (40 CFR Part 405 – 471) on a periodic basis. These industries are referred to as Categorical Industrial Users (CIUs) if they discharge the regulated wastewater into the local Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW). Danfoss (DFS) has processes (Coating-Phosphate/Zirconium &Passivation) in the Arkadelphia facility that are regulated by 40 CFR Part 433 and discharges to the City of Arkadelphia POTW. Therefore, DFS is a CIU. In accordance to 40 CFR 403.12(e), DFS must submit periodic reports to the Control Authority (ADEQ or Department) and in accordance with 40 CFR 403.8(f)(2)(v) be inspected by the Control Authority at least bi-annually. The

Department appreciates DFS taking the time on Wednesday (May 15, 2013) to show the ADEQ Engineer/Inspector (Rufus Torrence) the facility in Arkadelphia.

The inspection consisted of inspecting the shop operations (constructing scroll compressors), acid tanks and wastewater sampling. Danfoss rolls metal sheets to form the shell of the compressor (this operation is not regulated). Nonetheless, during the walk-through, the inspector noticed that DFS has three possible 40CFR433 core operations. In addition Parco/Phosphate conversion coating operation, the modified seven stage washer (Zircobond/Zirconium Coating) and the rust removal (passivation) may also be core operations. Core operations are the key processes in determining the applicability of the 40CFR433 category.

DFS has no open floor drains in the plant which connect directly to the POTW. Wastewater enters open floor drains and all wastewater is pumped to the pretreatment system which consists of pH adjustment/floc. The treated wastewater is sampled at the end of the pretreatment system, metered and released to the POTW.

Danfoss limits have been adjusted to account for dilution from the stormwater which Danfoss pumps into the pretreatment system; therefore, Danfoss' limits are not shown in 40CFR433 as they have been reduced by using the Combined Wastestream Formula (CWF) shown in 40CFR403.6(e); for example, the zinc limits are 2.573 & 1.459 mg/l. If DFS has any questions about the procedure, let the Department know.

According to 40CFR433.12(a) DFS may submit a Toxic Organic Management Plan in lieu of sampling for TTOs; presently, DFS is required to sample for the 110 toxic organic, seven metals and total cyanide for each semi-annual report. DFS may review the EPA Guidance Manual for Implementing Total Toxic Organics Pretreatment Standards by accessing this web site:

## http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/owm0021.pdf

DFS can find an example of a Toxic Organic Management Plan in Appendix D of this manual.

During the inspection, the inspector and DFS split wastewater samples of the regulated wastewater that will enter the local POTW. The ADEQ lab analysis is attached. The wastewater complies with the limits in 40 CFR 433.

DFS must continue sampling (at least semi-annually) all regulated wastewater for all 40 CFR 433 parameters before it enters the POTW.

The Department appreciates DFS' continued efforts in periodic reporting.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the Department at (501) 682-0626 or <a href="mailto:torrence@adeq.state.ar.us">torrence@adeq.state.ar.us</a>.

Sincerely,



Rufus Torrence, ADEQ Engineer/Inspector

Attachments: ADEQ Lab Analysis

ADEQ Inspection Report dated April 18, 2012

Pretreatment In	dustrial Inspe	ection
Facility 1	Information	
Facility Name: Danfoss, LLC	Site Address:	One Scroll Drive
		Arkadelphia, AR 71923
Signatory Authority (Name & Title): T. Paul Dean, Go	eneral Manager	-
Phone: 870-246-0700	Mailing Addre	ss (if different):
Fax:	Sam	
Address: Same	Corporate Own	er Name and address (if applicable):
Contact Person (Name & Title):		Danfoss Group
Chuck Jones, Env Health and Safety Mgr.	Ĭ	1 6430 Nordborg Denmark
Phone: 870-246-0714	Phone: +45 74	_
Fax:		7449 0949
e-mail: chuck.jones@danfoss.com		): Neils B. Chriestiansen
	e-mail:	
Facility Permit # <i>ARP001040</i> AFIN <i>10-00102</i>		Date: April 18, 2012
POTW (City) IU discharges to: Arkadelphia Water Dep	•	POTW's NPDES # <b>AR0020605</b>
Industrial Classification:   Categorical	i (cilliy)	Significant
If Categorical, list which CFR #(s) the facility is subject to	ro: 40 CFR 433	Significant
	f Contents	
I. Summary of Inspection	1 001101105	Page of
A. Inspection Objectives		
B. Inspection Analysis		
II. Pre-Inspection Meeting		Page of
A. General Information		Tuge of
B. Facility Permits		
C. Additional Comments	11.4	
III. Attachments "Yes" indicates item exists at the fa	·	
"No" indicates item does not exist a	it the facility and	
A. Industrial Processes		yes 🗵 no 🗌 Page of
B. Pollution Prevention Activities		yes no Page of
C. Pretreatment System		yes 🗵 no 🗌 Page of
D. Chemical Storage		yes 🗵 no 🗌 Page of
E. Spill/Slug Control Plan		yes 🗵 no 🗌 Page of
F. Self-Monitoring/TOMP  Comments: This facility has three possible core process	gage Damas (Dho	yes no Page of
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	spnatizing-Coating),
Zircobond (Zirconium Coating) and Rust Removal (Co	ating).	
Inspector's Name (Print): Rufus Torrence		Signature:
		Trufa greence
IU Rep's Name (Print)		Signature:
Chuck Jones		Not Applicable
Date and Time Inspection Ended: May 15, 2013	@ 12:15 pm	

I. Summary of Inspection					
A. Insp	ection and Objective (	(Comple	ete	Before Inspection	n)
Permit Renewal	Annual			Slug	Unscheduled
New Construction	Noncompliance	Fol	llov	w-up	☐ Complaint
Inspection Objective(s): Complia	ince Assurance				
Checklist of items to be reviewed	and/or visually inspected	1:			
☑ Pre-inspection Meeting	Permit Conditions			Safety Concerns	
Process Inspection	Pretreatment Proce	ess		TOMP*	
Chemical Storage	Discharge point(s)		Ц	Spills/Slug Contro	
Records Review	RCRA information	l	$\underline{\sqcup}$		reatment Schematics
	Flow/pH Meter(s)  New MSDS		$\frac{\sqcup}{\sqcap}$	Calibration Record	IS
·		TO: :-: 1:	<u></u>		MD 40 ADEO
Comments: *Danfoss Scroll has					MP 10 ADEQ.
**A Spills/Slug Con	trol Plan appears unnec	cessary a	it ti	his time.	
	B. Inspection	on Anal	ysi	is	
Were there any deficiencies/violat	tions identified and noted	d during	the	e inspection?	Yes 🛛 No
Provide a brief narrative of deficie	encies/violations or other	concern	ıs i	n the following area	as:
Records Review					
Process Area(s):					
1100000111000(0)1					
Pretreatment System					
Fredeadlient System					
Self Monitoring Procedures					
Diversion/Sewer Meters					
Spill/Slug Control Plan					
Sampling Point					
Sumpling I offit					
CI : 1 G					
Chemical Storage					

II. Pre-Inspection Meeting				
A. General	Information			
Date and Time Inspection Started: May 15, 2013 @ 10	0:00 am SIC code(s): 3585			
IU Reps/Titles	Control Authority Reps/Titles			
Chuck Jones, EH&S Manager	Rufus Torrence, Engineer			
End product(s): Scroll A/C compressors	Approx. # of units produced: 1000/day			
Days of Operation: 7 days/week	Days of Production (if different): same			
Hours of Operation: 24 hours/day	Hours of Production (if different): same			
Shift 1, hrs.: 8:00 am to 5:00 pm Shift 2, hrs.: N/A	A Shift 3, hrs.: N/A			
# of Employees: 197 (as of May 2013) Peak Mo	os.: "Off" Mos.:			
Are there any scheduled plant shutdowns? Yes 🛛 No 🗌	N/A If yes, when? July & December			
Are there designated plant clean-up days? Yes \(\sime\) No \(\Sime\)	N/A  If yes, when?			
Is the facility currently in compliance with all pretreatme	nt reporting requirements and limits? Yes 🛛 No 🗌			
If No, explain:				
Are there any Special Entry Procedures for the Discharge	/Sample point locations? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \)			
If Yes, explain:				
Are there any Safety Concerns or Identified Hazards that	the inspector should be aware of: Yes. No			
If Yes, explain:				
Has there been any changes since the last inspection rega	rding the following items:			
	tain copy of updated schematic for facility file.			
Processes? Yes No If yes, explain:	.,			
Production Levels? Yes ☐ No ☐ If yes, explain:				
Raw materials? Yes No If yes, explain:				
Flow rates? Yes No If yes, explain:				
110 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Are regulated and non-regulated wastestreams combined	? Yes 🛛 no 🗌			
Prior to Pretreatment System?	Yes no N/A			
If Yes, was the CWF used to calculate limits?	Yes \( \sigma\) no \( \sigma\)			
Prior to connection to the POTW sanitary sewer?	yes no N/A			
At connection to sanitary sewer?	yes no N/A			
Production and flows verified for Production-Based Stand	· = = =			
What is the current avg. production rate and process flow	•			
Is the prod. rate or flow substantially different (+/- 20%)	from those used in calculating limits? yes no N/A			
	Not Applicable			

	B. Facility Permits	s
Permit Type	Permit No.	Expiration Date
Air	1223-A	Voided
RCRA	Not Applicable	N/A
NPDES	ARR00B641	Active
Other	ARR00A240	Voided
	C. Additional Commo	ents
(Note which section or attachment	comments are regarding)	
Danfoss has both ISO 9001 and     The Parco process is actually	_	process that uses phosphoric acid,
		to the moving parts during start-up.
produces a zirconium coating. 4. Danfoss also receives cast stee Danfoss receives round steel stoc. "eccentric" shafts for the compre has automated assembly lines whorbiting scroll are washed in alkalin an alkaline bath prior to paints Bristol/Yorksold to Danfoss Gr	el parts which are machined on sk in eight-foot lengths which are essors. Danfoss purchases the stich piece together the parts for taline and phosphoric baths. Furting. Danfoss ships the finish propup).	site to create parts for the compressors. e cut to length and machined to form tator and rotor from outside vendors. Danfoss the compressors. The stationery scroll and thermore, the steel tube housing is also washed oduct to the two owners (Carlyle/Carrier and sold to York (about 60%), to Carrier (about
which uses Citric Acid. The Parc	o and Phosphating are core pro	cesses; the Derust may also be a core process.

	Attachment A: Iı	ndustrial Process(es)	
List process(es) generating wastewat	er. Note if it's cate	gorical (federally regulated	w/pretreatment limits) or not
1. Ransohoff (Soap) Wash	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	4. Derust (Citric Acid)	Yes No 🗌
2. Parco Coating (Core Process)	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	5.	Yes No No
3. Zircobond Coating (Core)	Yes 🛛 No 🗌	6.	Yes No No
Were processes visually inspected?	Yes No No	N/A	
Brief description of process(es):			
Ransohoff is a hydroxide soapy was "ancillary" operation.	h to remove oil, gre	ease and other contaminan	ts and is a 40 CFR 433
Parco is a phosphate conversion pro	ocess that falls und	er 40 CFR 433 as a "core"	operation.
Zircobond Coating is performed in	the old "phosphate	seven-stage washer and a	lso is a 40 CFR 433 core
operation. The principle is based or	n EPD (Electropho	retic Deposition).	
General observations of facility's ind	oor housekeeping:	Excellent	
General observations of area outside	facility's building:	Excellent	
Check all sources of wastewater bein (M) or estimated (E). If batch (B) di	-	-	
	Cleanup	☐ Floor Cleanup	Spent Bath Solutions
Overflows			
Product Cleaning Forklift	s Maint./Wash	☐ Tank Dragout	Air Pollution Devices
☐ Boiler Blowdown ☐ Spent F	Rinse Tanks	Equipment Coolants	☐ Non-Contact Cooling
			Water
Stormwater		П	
Z Stormwater			
List Major Raw Materials and Chem			
Cast parts machined to form "scro			mported from China.
Acids (phosphoric, nitric, etc.) for		ng.	
Lubricating oils for moving parts i	n compressor		
Check Waste Stream Pollutants of C		` ´I <u>—</u>	
BOD CN Metals (Li	<i>*</i>	Solvents (List)	
	Pb,Ag & Zn		
O&G S			
рН			
Are there floor drains in the Process	area? Yes	No If yes list number and	the location of all floor drains:
		•	

Attachment B	3: Pollution F	Preventio	on (P2) / Recycling Activities
Does the facility have a written P2 Plan?	Yes 🗌	No 🛛 B	tut documentation is pending
Does this facility practice P2?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 I	n practice, but system still under development
Environmental Management System in place	ce? Yes 🗌	No	☑ EMS is being developed for ISO Certification
ISO Certified?	Yes 🖂	No 🗌 I	SO 9001 & ISO 14001
Written Standard Operating Procedures? Y	Yes 🛚	No 🗌	
Explain:			
Preventative Maintenance Program	Yes 🛚	No 🗌 (	hydraulic systems, valves, pumps, etc)
Explain:			
Water Reuse:	Yes 🗌	No 🖂	
Explain:			
Cost Accounting to Track Savings:	Yes 🖂	No 🗌	
Explain:			
Inventory Control / "Green Purchasing": Y	Yes 🖂	No [ ]	ean manufacturing/"env. friendly purchasing", etc)
Explain:			
Employee Training:	Yes	No 🛛	
Explain:			
Spent Solvent Reclamation?	Yes 🛛	No	
Explain:			
Recycle Paper, Aluminum, Boxes, and Palle	ets? Yes 🛛	No	]
Explain:			
Recycle Waste Oil, Solvents, and Lubricant	s? Yes 🗵	No	
Explain:			
Other Activities			
P2 Equipment/Practices in use:			
Overflow Alarms			Aqueous Cleaning Solutions
Fog Spray Rinsing			Countercurrent Rinsing
☐ Dragout Collection Trays			Seal-Less Pumps
Air Jets to Blow Parts Dry			Secondary Containment of Process Solutions
Aqueous Paint Stripping Solutions			Bead Blasting to Remove Paint
Water Soluble Cutting Fluids			Recycle Overspray
In-Process Recycle (Ion Exchange, Reve	erse Osmosis)		Conductivity Meters
Dead Rinse Tanks			Bath / Rinse Filtration

		Attachment C: 1	Pretreatn	nent System	m				
Are wastestreams se	gregated before pre-	treatment?		Yes	⊠ No		N/A		
Are they pretreated j	prior to discharge to	the sanitary sewer?		Yes	☐ No		N/A		
Was the pretreatmer	nt system visually in	spected during this v	isit?	X Yes		] No		N/A	
Check which of the	following are utilize	ed for pretreatment pr	ior to disc	harge to sar	nitary sewe	er:			
☐ Dissolved air flo	atation	Membrane Tech		☐ Ion Exc	hange		☐ Bi	iological Treatmer	nt
Centrifugation		Flow Equalization	on	Ozonati	on		☐ C1	hlorinating	
Chemical Precip	itation	Oil/Water Separ	ation	Reverse	Osmosis		☐ G <sub>1</sub>	rit Removal	
Sludge Filter Pre	ess	Grease Trap		Screen				olvent Separation	
pH Adjustment		Sand Trap		Sedimer	ntation		☐ Si	lver Recovery	
Belt/Disk Oil Sk	immer								
Provide Brief Descri	ption of Pretreatme	nt System (leaks, clea	nliness, e	quipment no	ot in worki	ng ord	ler):		
System appears to w	ell operated and m	aintained.							
Does the description	match the schemat	ic currently on file?		$\boxtimes$	Yes	]No		/A	
System Operator(s) Name: Darrell Franklin, Carl Wells, Melissa Franklin, James Diemer & Byran Rutherford									
have Industrial O	perator license.								
Greg Newton, Mike	Bell, Kenneth Lan	gley, and Greg Cona	nt have A	dvance Ind	ustrial Ope			<u>.</u>	
Does discharge pern	•	•		Yes	□ No		N/A		
		e State of Arkansas (	per Reg. #	3?)	Yes	] No		I/A	
List Name(s) and Li	cense classification.	(Listed above)						_	
								_	
		System Operator(s)?	∑ Yes	No No	□ N/A				
If Yes, list type	e and frequency:								
T (1 1' 1 C			M.c.	🗆	la u	.•			
Is the discharge from		combination, describ		ntinuous	Combinat	tion			
Volume of each bate		llons per	e me iono	wilig.					
volume of each bate	on. ga	nons per							
Describe process fro	m which batch orig	inated (spent bath, e.g	ı ).						
Describe process no	m which batch orig	mated (spent bath, e.g	5.).						
Approximate duration	on of batch dischare	re:							
	Calibration Procedu		Comme	nts (Totalize	er Reading	)			

Attachme	ent D: Cho	emical Sto	rage Area(s)
Does the facility have a designated chemical storage	ge area(s)?	Yes	No (Decentralized; see comment below)
Was this area(s) visually inspected?		Yes	□No ⊠N/A
Describe Chemical Storage Area(s)	Are there drains in	floor this area?	If yes, where does this drain lead to?
1.	Yes	☐ No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer
2.	Yes	☐ No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer
3.	Yes	☐ No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer
4.	Yes	☐ No	☐ Pretreatment ☐ Sanitary Sewer ☐ Storm Sewer
Does the Chemical Storage Area(s) contain any of	the followi	ng?	
Dikes, Berms for Containment		s for Floor	Drains
Secondary Tanks for Holding	☐ Pren	nix (low) Co	oncentrations
Alarms	Chai	n restraints	, limited access
Spills Control Kits for Cleanup	☐ Noti	fication Pro	cedures
Chemical desegregation within Storage Area	Othe	er	
Chemical Inventory List (MSDS) on file?		Yes	□No □N/A
Were any new MSDS reviewed during the Inspecti	on?	Yes	□No □N/A
If yes, list below:			
Chemical storage comments:			
Presently, Danfoss has "decentralized chemical	storage".	The decen	tralized
location are equipped with berms for spill contro			
Chemical handling procedures (totes, dolly, bucket	s, hardline	, etc):	
Totes, Forklifts,			

Attachment E: Spill/Slug Control Plan	
Does the facility have a Spill/Slug control plan?	yes no 1
If yes are the following: 403.8(f)(2)(v)(A-D) requirements in place?	
Is the spill/slug control plan <2 years old?	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
(A) Describes discharge practices including non routine batch (slug) discharges	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
(B) Describes storage and handling of chemicals	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
(C) Procedures for immediate notification to POTW of slug discharges	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
(D) 1. Describes measures for controlling toxic/hazardous pollutants	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
2. Describes procedures and equipment for emergency response	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
3. Describes follow-up to limit damage suffered by POTW or environment	☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A
4. Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no N/A
5. Are worker personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no N/A
If no:	
Does the facility have Spill/Slug Notification Procedures posted?	yes no
Is it posted in areas where chemicals are used and stored?	yes no
If Yes how many?	
Are appropriate personnel provided training in the event of a spill or slug discharge?	yes no
Have there been any non-routine, episodic discharges or chemical spills in the past year?	yes no
(Briefly Describe, Include Dates)	
Not Applicable	
Was the City notified of these occurrences? ☐ yes ☐ no ☒ N/A	
Visual Inspection of Discharge Lines/Points	
Provide description of manhole condition and flow channel of the following where applicable:	
Sampling / Monitoring Point Effluent tank with top spill to POTW.	
Total Flow Monitoring Point Inline flow totalizer on effluent pipe to POTW.	
Upstream Manhole	
Point of Connection:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Facility has no open floor drains to the POTW so a SPCC for the POTW protection appears unnecessary.

At	tachment F: Self-Mo	onitoring & if CFR 4	33, TTO/TOMP Req	uirements
Have Operator (or person Record descriptions. Incl		-	osite and grab samples a	re collected and preserved.
Sorrells Lab Technicia	n takes 24-hour compo	osite sample from tank	vat at the end of the pr	etreatment system.
Where is the sample poin	t located? <i>Effluent tan</i>	k with top spill to POT	W.	
☐ End of Process		atment Effluent	☐ Total Flow	
Combined Flow	☐ Metere	ed Flow	☐ Flow Actuator	
Private Manhole	Utility	Manhole	Advance Notice	Required
Safety Hazards Identi	fied $\square$			
Is the Sample Collection	Site Adequate?		Yes No	□ N/A
Does the facility rep. requ	est a split sample on th	nis sampling/inspection	?	No
Does the facility perform	self-monitoring tests in	n-house?	Yes _	No N/A
If no, record the nar	ne and address of Cont	ract Lab: Sorrells lab	in Little Rock	
Automatic Sampler	or Manual			
IU Self-Monitoring Resul	ts reviewed:		∑ Yes [	No N/A
Is the Contract Lab	certified by ADEQ for	test parameters?	∑ Yes [	No N/A
Dates and Times of	Sample Analysis Recor	rded?	⊠Yes [	No N/A
Correct Methods Us	ed for Test Analysis (R	Refer To 40CFR Part 13	6) Xes [	No N/A
EPA recommended	holding times being me	et (Refer to 40CFR Par	t 136)	No N/A
Chain of Custody R	ecords for Self-Monitor	ring Samples Reviewed	∑ Yes [	No N/A
Were correct Sampl	e Types Collected		∑ Yes [	No N/A
Dates and times of S	Sample Collection Reco	orded?	∑ Yes [	No N/A
Were Samples prese	erved correctly (refer to	40CFR Part 136)	∑ Yes [	No N/A
Were Self Monitoria	ng records on file for pa	ast 3 years?	∑ Yes [	No N/A
List the parameters the fa				
Cd(t) 2/yr	Cu(t) 2/yr	Cr(t) 2/yr	Ni(t) 2/yr	Pb(t) 2/yr
Ag(t) 2/yr	$\sum$ Zn(t) 2/yr	□ pH	CN <sup>-</sup> (t) 2/yr	CN (a-c)
TTO-Vol 2/yr	TTO-B/N 2/yr	TTO-A.E.	TTO-Pest 2/yr	Cr(hex)
				] 🗆
Toxic Organic Manager				
How does the IU report T	TO? Analys	is Certifica	tion Statement	
Does the facility have a T	oxic Organic Manager	nent Plan? Yes	No N/A	
If yes, Does the plan show	w how toxic organics a	re used, stored, and dis	posed? Yes No	N/A
List the date of the l	ast revision to the TON	MP:		
Is the TOMP being	followed as written?	Yes No	N/A (If no, provide explana	ation in comments.)
<b>If no,</b> is there evidence the	at a TOMP is needed?	☐Yes ☐ No ▷	N/A (If yes, provide descrip	ption of evidence in comments.)
Comments:				



5301 Northshore Drive North Little Rock, AR 72118

Telephone: 501-682-0744

**Client Report For:** 

Danfoss, LLC 10-00102 2013 1699

Attention:

**Client Address:** 

Report Date: LAB ID:

June 17, 2013 AR13MAY15-07

Comment:

Approved By:\_\_\_\_\_ Date:June 17, 2013 Laboratory Contact: Jeff Ruehr

Ruehr@adeq.state.ar.us

501-682-0955

Client: CSI Client Sample ID: DFS

<u>Lab ID:</u> 2013-1699 <u>Collection Date:</u> 5/15/2013 11:15:00 AM

Matrix: Water

#### **Analyses**

Metals by EPA 200.8	EPA 200.8	EPA 200.8		Batch: 13052806 Run: 1			
		<u>Result</u>	Reporting <u>Limit</u>	MDL	<u>Qual</u>	<u>Unit</u>	
Aluminum		<200	200	20		ug/L	
Antimony		<100	100	5		ug/L	
Arsenic		<10	10	0.5		ug/L	
Barium		<100	100	2.0		ug/L	
Beryllium		<5	5	0.1		ug/L	
Boron		7810	250	5.0		ug/L	
Cadmium		<10	10	0.3		ug/L	
Calcium		85.0	0.4	0.04		mg/L	
Chromium		<10	10	0.3		ug/L	
Cobalt		<10	10	0.5		ug/L	
Copper		<10	10	0.5		ug/L	
Iron		1780	200	10.0		ug/L	
Lead		<10	10	0.1		ug/L	
Magnesium		1.17	1	0.1		mg/L	
Manganese		3640	10	0.2		ug/L	
Nickel		154	25	0.5		ug/L	
Potassium		22.4	10	0.05		mg/L	
Selenium		<20	20	0.5		ug/L	
Silver		<50	50	1.0		ug/L	
Sodium		184	0.4	0.02		mg/L	
Thallium		<25	25	0.05		ug/L	
Vanadium		39.7	25	1.0		ug/L	
Zinc		114	30	2.0		ug/L	
Dilution Factor		10					
Analyzed By		Robert Graddy					
Analysis Date/Time		May 24 2013 1:56PM	Л				
Prep By							
Prep Date/Time							

Laboratory Contact: Jeff Ruehr

Ruehr@adeq.state.ar.us

501-682-0955

# Analytical Quality Control Results Report

Batch: 13052806				ICP Metals	s - water (total)
DFS					LIMS ID: 2013-1699
ICP Metals - water (Total) DUP					Run: 1
Parameter	Result	DL	RL	Accuracy Control	Precision Control
Aluminum	<200 ug/L	200	200		
Aluminum (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Antimony (RPD)	1.5 %				0 - 20
Antimony	<100 ug/L	50	100		
Arsenic	<10 ug/L	5	10		
Arsenic (RPD)	10.5 %				0 - 20
Barium (RPD)	5.2 %				0 - 20
Barium	<100 ug/L	20	100		
Beryllium	<5 ug/L	1	5		
Beryllium (RPD)	18.2 %				0 - 20
Boron (RPD)	8.1 %				0 - 20
Boron	8480 ug/L	50	250		
Cadmium	<10 ug/L	3	10		
Cadmium (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Calcium (RPD)	12.6 %				0 - 20
Calcium	96.5 mg/L	0.4	0.4		
Chromium	<10 ug/L	3	10		
Chromium (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Cobalt (RPD)	0 %				0 - 20
Cobalt	<10 ug/L	5	10		
Copper	<10 ug/L	5	10		
Copper (RPD)	16.5 %				0 - 20
Iron (RPD)	7.8 %				0 - 20
Iron	1650 ug/L	100	200		
Lead	<10 ug/L	1	10		
Lead (RPD)	3.2 %				0 - 20
Magnesium (RPD)	29.0 %				0 - 20
Magnesium	<1 mg/L	1	1		
Manganese	3700 ug/L	2	10		
Manganese (RPD)	3.0 %				0 - 20
Nickel (RPD)	2.9 %				0 - 20
	_				

Page 3 of 4

# Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality 5301 Northshore Drive North Liitle Rock, AR 72118

Laboratory Contact: Jeff Ruehr

Ruehr@adeq.state.ar.us

501-682-0955

Nickel	160 ug/L	5	25	
Potassium	25.2 mg/L	0.5	10	
Potassium (RPD)	11.8 %			0 - 20
Selenium (RPD)	0 %			0 - 20
Selenium	<20 ug/L	5	20	
Silver	<50 ug/L	10	50	
Silver (RPD)	0 %			0 - 20
Sodium (RPD)	0.7 %			0 - 20
Sodium	183 mg/L	0.2	0.4	
Thallium	<25 ug/L	0.5	25	
Thallium (RPD)	0.7 %			0 - 20
Vanadium (RPD)	2.1 %			0 - 20
Vanadium	40.5 ug/L	10	25	
Zinc	107 ug/L	20	30	
Zinc (RPD)	6.2 %			0 - 20
Dilution Factor	10			
Analyzed By	Robert Graddy			
Analysis Date/Time	May 24 2013 2:03PM			